



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/534,523	05/11/2005	Pascal Chevalier	4590-396	5088
33308 7590 04/20/2007 LOWE HAUPTMAN GILMAN & BERNER, LLP 1700 DIAGNOSTIC ROAD, SUITE 300 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER SAFAIPOUR, BOBBAK	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS	04/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/534,523

Applicant(s)

CHEVALIER ET AL.

Examiner

Bobbak Safaipoor

Art Unit

2618

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 May 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 11 May 2005 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/11/05.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Applicant's claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) is acknowledged.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement submitted on 5/11/2005 has been considered by the Examiner and made of record in the application file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 10-11 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Consider **claim 10**, the Applicant fails to point out and distinctly claim the subject matter from the following limitations: onboard function parameterized from the ground by the Onboard Param Vaa function, ground function, onboard function, VAA processing.

Consider **claim 11**, the Applicant fails to point out and distinctly claim the subject matter from the following limitations: onboard function parameterized from the ground by the onboard Param Vaa function, ground function, onboard function parameterized from the ground by the Onboard Param Vaa function, ground function.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 10-11 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by **Norin et al (US 6,157,817)**.

Consider **claim 10**, Norin et al disclose a system for the verification of anti-jamming in a communications system comprising several sensors or adaptive antennas, a ground station and a piloting device, comprising at least the following elements: for a verification by channel, from the ground and for a reception band B, a computer integrated into the piloting device and an onboard computer, the two computers being programmed to execute the following steps:

Onboard function parameterized from the ground by the Onboard Param Vaa function (abstract; col. 1, lines 33-45),

Ground function (col. 1, lines 33-45),

onboard function (col. 1, lines 33-45),

VAA Processing (col. 2, lines 30-65).

Consider **claim 11**, Norin et al disclose a system for the verification of anti-jamming in a communications system comprising several sensors or adaptive antennas, a ground station and a piloting device, comprising at least the following elements: for a verification by station, an

Art Unit: 2618

onboard computer and a ground computer, the computers being programmed to execute the following functions:

onboard function parameterized from the ground by the Onboard Param Vaa function (col. 1, lines 33-45),

ground function (col. 1, lines 33-45),

onboard function parameterized from the ground by the Onboard Param Vaa function (col. 1, lines 33-45),

ground function. (col. 2, lines 30-65).

Consider **claim 13**, and as applied to **claim 10** above, Norin et al disclose the claimed invention for a space communications system. (abstract; col. 2, lines 30-65)

Consider **claim 14**, and as applied to **claim 11** above, Norin et al disclose the claimed invention for a space communications system. (abstract; col. 2, lines 30-65)

Allowable Subject Matter

Consider **claim 1**, the best prior art of record found during the examination of the present application, **Norin et al (US 6,157,817)**, fails to specifically disclose, teach, or suggest a method for the verification of anti-jamming in a communications systems having several sensors or adaptive antennas, wherein estimating at least one of the following ratios: sum of the power values of the residual jamming units/sum of the power values of the stations on the reception band B; sum of the power values of the residual jamming units/power of the station u in the

Art Unit: 2618

reception band B; and power of the jamming unit p in the reception band Bu/power of the station u in the reception band B.

Claims 2-9 and 12 are allowable because it is dependent upon independent claim 1.

Conclusion

Any response to this Office Action should be **faxed to (571) 273-8300 or mailed to:**

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to

Customer Service Window
Randolph Building
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Bobbak Safaipoor whose telephone number is (571) 270-1092. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Edan Orgad can be reached on (571) 272-7884. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

Art Unit: 2618

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free) or 703-305-3028.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist/customer service whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.


Bobbak Safaipoor
B.S./bs

April 11, 2007

EDAN ORGAD
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER

 4/14/07